Medical Science Journal for Advance Research

Vol. 7, No. 3, September 2026
e-ISSN: 2774-4892

Review Article: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Contamination in Medical Laboratories

Azhar Said Hendal Al- Nasralla, and Aseel Ibrahim Suhail
Ministry of Education - Open Educational College – Iraq.
***Corresponding Author Email: Azharsaidhendal@gmail.com,** Aseelalkinany@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This review focuses on the threat posed by Pseudomonas aeruginosa bacteria within the laboratory environment used for diagnostics. It explains how common mistakes in improperly disposing of equipment, toxic chemicals, unsterilized fluids, and laboratory surfaces can inadvertently turn control areas into a haven for opportunistic germs. Especially when neglected, this environment compromises both biosafety and diagnostic accuracy. Analyses indicate that moist environments are the main sources of contamination and germs, particularly in sewage networks, restrooms, cooling systems, and chemical materials. One of the key factors in the survival of Pseudomonas aeruginosa is its ability to form a strong biofilm, which helps it acquire resistance genes against chemical disinfectants on disposable lab tools. Laboratory and medical center workers face risks ranging from skin contact to soft tissue injuries and infections, and this risk increases due to the spread of multidrug-resistant strains, which limits treatment options in clinical settings to handle this threat We should follow a comprehensive strategy that includes hand hygiene, daily equipment maintenance, surface testing, and ongoing staff training to stick to safety procedures. In the future, it will also be important to conduct multidisciplinary research to study the broader microbiome in medical labs to improve contamination control measures, protect medical staff, and ensure the safety of samples.

Keywords: Pseudomonas Aeruginosa, Safety Procedures, Biofilm, Drug Resistance, Environmental Control, Germ Risk.

Article Information
Received: June 2, 2026; Revised: July 13, 2026; Online: September 2026y

INTRODUCTION

Laboratory technicians and patients are most at risk from surfaces that are heavily exposed to standing liquid, such as sink basins, drainage traps, water baths, humidifiers, and the active surfaces of sample-handling workstations. Contamination with Pseudomonas aeruginosa in a laboratory setting can cause serious harm to staff, from sharps injuries during specimen management to direct exposure of open wounds to contaminated surfaces and supplies. Pseudomonas contamination in medical labs warrants clear attention, and awareness campaigns regarding its impact on staff and public health must be pursued. At present, preventive measures and decontamination protocols selected by local laboratories are themselves worth documenting for scientific publications. It should be stressed that a medical lab not only diagnoses disease, but can itself become a major reservoir of contamination. Despite routine cleaning and disinfection, biofilm-associated Pseudomonas aeruginosa DNA has the ability to persist for long periods even in the presence of disinfectants, such as inside drains, on wet surfaces, and in stagnant reservoirs of water-based supplies. A range of important issues surrounds sample contamination, sharps injuries, and the effectiveness of lab

https://doi.org/10.46966/msjar.v7i3.433

Published by: READ INSTITUTE

management, and these issues are directly aligned with the main aims of this review.

1.1. Definition and Causes of Pseudomonas Contamination in Medical Laboratories

Many routine practices influence the contamination of areas where technicians and researchers work. Shortcomings in technical and organizational practices produce different waste streams that, in turn, increase the overall burden of contamination inside the laboratory and its surrounding community. [6]. The nature of laboratory waste is determined more by the type of waste being produced rather than its volume. Having hazardous chemical waste and infectious biological waste in the lab contributes to the contamination resulting from ongoing work in the lab.

To better understand Pseudomonas contamination in this setting, it is essential to consider the nature of specimens and the lab environment, the layout and design of the laboratory, past and present technical practices, the management of waste disposal equipment, staffing levels and attitudes, professional and public education, lab management, and investment in infrastructure. Reagents and solutions used in testing, some of which generate airborne aerosols, have shown elevated levels of Pseudomonas contamination inside the lab environment; these may include disinfectants, antimicrobial and antifungal agents, surface sanitizers, and floor-cleaning agents. Such practices are repeatedly paired with the use of electronic and optical equipment that itself contributes to increased contamination within precision-sensitive laboratory areas. Environmental factors such as heat, extreme humidity, high relative humidity, stagnant air, inadequate ventilation, low air-filtration rates, and poorly positioned or contaminated laboratory ventilation equipment further enhance the overall level of Pseudomonas contamination in clinical labs. [4][24]

2. Importance of Identifying Pseudomonas aeruginosa Contamination

Given the impact of Pseudomonas aeruginosa contamination in medical laboratory environments on patient safety and the potential to affect diagnostic results, the presence of this bacteria in immunological tests or on lab surfaces is important for developing infection control procedures in the lab. Pseudomonas aeruginosa contamination can lead to higher rates of healthcare-associated infections and may require treatments ranging from medication to surgical interventions. Therefore, developing a strategy that can alert healthcare facilities to potential outbreaks of this bacteria or possible cross-contamination between samples and staff is essential as part of ongoing efforts to address safety issues. [15]

Substantial experimental research has also demonstrated the high potential for infection and disease within laboratory environments contaminated by drug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa, with potential downstream effects on the broader community. Monitoring laboratory contamination, with active tracking of viable Pseudomonas bacteria, is an essential aspect of public health policy.

The identification of this specific organism in areas of high contamination inside medical labs is accordingly used by health agencies to implement surveillance procedures. For public health purposes, however, high levels of Pseudomonas contamination in labs not only alert health officials but also enable monitoring of the efficacy of health policies over the medium and long term, while allowing laboratory staff themselves to adopt preventative practices. This review accordingly discusses the significance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa contamination in the medical lab environment and calls for further discussion of the issue. [20]

2.1. Impact on Public Health

The outcome caused by Pseudomonas aeruginosa bacteria is a big challenge in the lab, as the infection can range from mild to severe, and the result depends on the individual's immune status and the virulence of the bacteria. Mortality and disease rates can rise once this infection spreads.. These local infections can spread to other systemic sites, potentially leading to systemic syndromes. It has been recognized that Pseudomonas infections acquired from a lab environment are not confined to that environment and can spread further into the community. The incidence of these infections also increases healthcare costs, and such infections are frequently under-recognized by both the public and healthcare professionals outcomes may be improved through targeted education or through more rigorous laboratory practices that reduce the potential for contamination.[25]

Local and national health experts, in addition to public health experts, should adopt a proactive approach in designing and evaluating preventative strategies to control the spread of Pseudomonas-associated contamination originating from medical laboratories. It is accordingly essential to integrate community-level data and to consider varying perspectives on laboratory-acquired contamination, so as to support appropriate health interventions and preventive measures. [19]

3. Methodologies for Identifying Pseudomonas aeruginosa Contamination in Medical Laboratories

A variety of methods is available for identifying Pseudomonas aeruginosa contamination inside the medical laboratory environment, each with its own advantages and disadvantages. Traditional methods, primarily of historical interest, involve visualizing colony pigmentation and colony morphology under standard or fluorescent illumination, in addition to Gram staining and a range of biochemical tests, such as oxidase testing, used to confirm the identity of the organism. More modern techniques are known to increase speed and to offer better specificity and sensitivity, and may even prove less costly once suitably equipped facilities are available. It is essential that personnel trained according to recognized guidelines manage these identification methods. [17]

A range of identification typing methods is available to support the design of supplementary or more assertive prevention and detection strategies aimed at eradicating Pseudomonas aeruginosa from the laboratory. The choice of method depends on the sample collected and on where and from which laboratory section it originated, such as the microbiology bench, the biochemistry section, or the specimen-preparation area. All of the methods described below offer a varying degree of sensitivity and specificity; some methods are more error-prone than others. The increasing issue of antimicrobial resistance suggests that approaches must evolve over time as resistant strains of the organism are identified. Untrained or underqualified personnel, or those working under unregulated lab conditions, cannot properly perform these methods with the necessary accuracy, and this point must be emphasized clearly. Furthermore, each approach must be adopted with strict laboratory standards in mind. [8][7]

3.1. Culturing Techniques

Culture methods have been widely used for over a century as a means of isolating and identifying Pseudomonas aeruginosa obtained from different sample types, particularly from laboratory samples. The fundamental premise underlying such methods is that particular bacterial strains can be isolated utilizing selective media and defined incubation environments. A standard bacteriological approach uses selective and differential agar, most notably cetrimide agar, as an isolation-based culture method. It is thus assumed that the organism in a given sample behaves according to these isolation principles. For the detection of contamination within medical laboratories, the isolation and quantification of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in the laboratory environment is fundamental to assessing the risk of associated infections. [21]

Culturing samples under conditions favoring aerobic growth is a universal practice given the strictly aerobic nature of Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and several culturing protocols are suitable for laboratory environments to isolate this organism from moist environmental niches. Recently, to improve isolation efficiency, rapid culturing techniques have been adapted for clinical samples, particularly those used to predict blood culture results and antimicrobial susceptibility. Although culturing methods carry disadvantages, such as the recovery of only readily cultivable organisms and difficulty growing fastidious strains, they remain valuable for routine sample quantification in laboratories. When performing culturing processes, cross-contamination related to horizontal transfer or to the operator may be introduced; culture-based methods are therefore described concisely here to provide detailed information concerning the estimated bacterial burden in a contaminated area, specifically from specimens collected by laboratory personnel. [11]

4. Pseudomonas aeruginosa as the Predominant Contaminant in Medical Laboratories

As infectious disease continues to be one of the world's greatest causes of morbidity and mortality, significant effort is devoted to understanding and mitigating risks present in the environments that surround us.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is prevalent on contaminated surfaces such as sink traps and reusable laboratory supplies. While Staphylococcus and Streptococcus species are more closely tied to skin and sample-carried transmission, Pseudomonas aeruginosa can resist many common disinfectants, persist for extended periods in diluted antiseptic solutions, and be transmitted through contact with contaminated fluids and wet surfaces, consistently posing a major environmental strain within laboratories. [5] [18]

Laboratory surfaces can harbor clinically significant reservoirs of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Studies of clinical and lab settings have found notable proportions of examined wet surfaces and supplies specifically contaminated with this organism. In terms of transmission, reports of multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa across several labs and associated healthcare facilities indicate transmission occurring through hand contact, contaminated liquids, or shared equipment. Hand hygiene and awareness of laboratory contamination can reduce not only the risk to specimens but also contamination rates among staff exposed to this and other laboratory-associated organisms.[3]

4.1. Pseudomonas aeruginosa

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a common bacterium found in medical laboratories, as well as in water, soil, and damp places. It can be found in sewage drains and liquid storage tanks. This bacterium is one of the main sources of contamination in the lab, causing a range of negative effects. It can spread from contaminated water in the lab or through lab staff who don't follow safety procedures when handling samples. This bacteria can survive for long periods on wet surfaces like sinks, drainage systems, and sample handling equipment. Lack of hand hygiene or failure to properly clean the lab can lead to contamination. Some of the main effects of this contamination include reducing sample quality, causing dirt buildup on equipment, and it can even lead to soft tissue and wound infections. Additionally, Pseudomonas aeruginosa can stick to surfaces and form biofilms, which often makes it difficult to control.[12][14]

5. Health Risks Associated with Pseudomonas aeruginosa Contamination

Unlike typical physical contamination, staff in medical laboratories are frequently affected by poor indoor air and water quality, particularly where ventilation and plumbing systems support the persistence of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Infections among laboratory employees, particularly newly hired technicians and those with prolonged working hours in wet sections of the laboratory, are among the risks associated with airborne and waterborne bacterial contamination. The organisms most frequently isolated in this context, and the associated issues of infection and inadequate diagnostic and therapeutic response they pose, are detailed here alongside evidence that effective sanitization systems can reduce these contamination-related issues. [13]

Common contaminants recovered from the water systems and ventilation of medical laboratories can influence staff and, in some cases, colonize staff, thereby increasing the burden of laboratory-acquired infection. Among the organisms often isolated alongside Pseudomonas aeruginosa are Enterococcus spp., Staphylococcus spp., Acinetobacter spp., Klebsiella spp., Enterobacter spp., and related opportunistic contaminants. Newly trained staff, those working in heavily used laboratory sections, and immunosuppressed individuals whose specimens are handled are at risk for a compounded effect of contamination progression, extended handling period, and prolonged pharmacological treatment when infection does occur. Currently available diagnostic tools and pharmacological therapies are not always sufficient to eradicate Pseudomonas aeruginosa originating from a laboratory's water systems. For all these reasons, it is essential to strengthen surveillance programs and to implement strict water-system disinfection measures. [10]

5.1. Antibiotic Resistance

The prevalence of emerging antibiotic resistance among Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates recovered from medical laboratories causes great concern. Antibiotics used in lab-associated clinical settings are often misused or used incorrectly, and this overuse enables the organism to mutate and render treatments slowly less effective. Resistant strains of Pseudomonas aeruginosa are progressively recovered from laboratory environments. Surveillance data show that the rate of antibiotic-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa varies considerably between nations; in several regions, it ranks among the top three organisms with high resistance, alongside Klebsiella pneumoniae and Acinetobacter baumannii. [16]

The spread of resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa causes concern for both staff health and public confidence, because individuals risk acquiring resistant organisms through routine healthcare and laboratory environments. The main acquired mechanism of antibiotic resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa is the production of beta-lactamase enzymes, including extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) and carbapenemase, alongside efflux-pump-mediated resistance and reduced outer-membrane permeability. These mechanisms are collectively responsible for the organism's frequent resistance to carbapenems, aminoglycosides, and polymyxins. For clinical impact, the drug-resistance issue recognized in lab-associated Pseudomonas aeruginosa is related to contamination rates and staff and sample safety, and likewise influences the length of treatment, associated complications, laboratory operating costs, and, where infection happens, patient mortality. [2]

6. Preventive Measures and Control Strategies

The most effective preventative measures and control strategies for reducing the impact of Pseudomonas aeruginosa contamination on laboratory staff and sample integrity are based on fundamental prevention and reduction of the wet microenvironments where the organism thrives, in full compliance with public health regulations. [22][1]

The central health department should continuously compile a file on bacterial resistance patterns and follow global standards. In conclusion, studies on antibiotic resistance in bacteria acquired in laboratories are still limited and deserve more research, innovative solutions and necessary technologies to protect staff and the safety of samples from these bacteria. Smart technologies can help track contamination and reduce its spread with methods designed for each lab. This can be achieved by eliminating stagnant water, cleaning surfaces prone to biofilm formation, maintaining hand hygiene continuously disinfecting water systems and adhering to preventive measures.[9][23]

7. Future Research Directions

Waterborne and surface-associated Pseudomonas aeruginosa is widespread in medical laboratory environments, with direct implications for sample integrity and the overall safety of lab staff. This organism is usually present in laboratory water systems and on wet surfaces, underscoring the significance of enhanced control measures and the need for studies that address water and wet surfaces as a vector for laboratory contamination. At present, understanding of laboratory contamination by Pseudomonas aeruginosa consists of a restricted number of fragmented studies. The role of lab facility design in the spread of this organism, and the transfer of antimicrobial-resistant strains, remains under-studied, as does the subsequent deposition of biofilm material throughout the laboratory environment. This organism and its dynamics are never static, and continuous research and management of the threat it poses are necessary.

As suggested above, Pseudomonas aeruginosa contamination represents an ongoing, widespread, and critical threat in laboratory environments and demands control measures pursued as rigorously as those targeted at other sources of contamination. Further research concerning emerging threats and refined mitigation actions is needed. A promising future direction for this research should be to conduct large-scale, quantitative studies on water and surface samples collected from a variety of laboratory settings, to evaluate how lab infrastructure contributes to the persistence of this organism. Continued focus on multidisciplinary research addressing this threat is also encouraged.

Overall, this review concludes that while Pseudomonas aeruginosa, including a significant proportion of antimicrobial-resistant isolates, is repeatedly detected in the water systems and wet surfaces of medical laboratories, and is therefore a component of the critical threat that microorganisms and emerging contaminants pose inside the lab environment, it requires newer and more effective measures for continuous control. Expanding future research into laboratory-associated microbiomes and their link to human pathogenicity factors on laboratory surfaces is crucial to a comprehensive, interdisciplinary understanding of this hazard. Investing in a skilled workforce dedicated to lab cleanliness, including vigilant monitors for the identification of contamination hazards, can thereby be strategically beneficial.

REFERENCES

1. A. Bikis, "Urban air pollution and greenness in relation to public health," Journal of Environmental and Public Health, 2023. wiley.com
2. A. K. Nanayakkara and H. W. Boucher, "Antibiotic resistance in the patient with cancer: Escalating challenges and paths forward," CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians, vol. 71, no. 6, pp. 489-504, 2021. wiley.com
3. A. Piracha and M. T. Chaudhary, "Urban air pollution, urban heat island and human health: a review of the literature," Sustainability, 2022. mdpi.com
4. A. Rana, N. Sharma, and I. Hasan, "A review on hospital waste as a potential environmental pollution and their remediation mechanisms," AIP Conference Proceedings, 2023. [HTML]
5. E. Carolino, M. Twarużek, R. Kosicki, and S. Viegas, "Bioburden contamination and Staphylococcus aureus colonization associated with firefighter's ambulances," Environmental ..., vol. XX, no. YY, pp. ZZ-ZZ, 2021. [HTML]
6. H. Motbainor, F. Bereded, and W. Mulu, "… -drug resistance of blood stream, urinary tract and surgical site nosocomial infections of Acinetobacter baumannii and Pseudomonas aeruginosa among patients …," BMC infectious diseases, 2020. springer.com
7. J. D. Kaufman, M. S. V. Elkind, A. Bhatnagar, K. Koehler, et al., "Guidance to reduce the cardiovascular burden of ambient air pollutants: a policy statement from the American Heart Association," Circulation, vol. 142, no. 24, pp. e100-e109, 2020. ahajournals.org
8. K. K. Yadav, Y. Nimonkar, B. J. Poddar, L. Kovale, et al., "Two-dimensional cell separation: a high-throughput approach to enhance the culturability of bacterial cells from environmental samples," Microbiology, vol. 2022, Am Soc Microbiol. asm.org
9. L. J. Staniford and K. A. Schmidtke, "A systematic review of hand-hygiene and environmental-disinfection interventions in settings with children," BMC Public Health, 2020. springer.com
10. M. A. A. Majumder, S. Rahman, D. Cohall, et al., "Antimicrobial stewardship: fighting antimicrobial resistance and protecting global public health," Infection and Drug Resistance, vol. 2020, Taylor & Francis. tandfonline.com
11. M. Alzu'bi, S. Makahleh, and S. Abulaila, "Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus contamination of high-touched surfaces in a university campus," Journal of Applied ..., vol. 2022. academia.edu
12. N. A. Khan, S. Ahmed, I. H. Farooqi, I. Ali, V. Vambol, "Occurrence, sources and conventional treatment techniques for various antibiotics present in hospital wastewaters: a critical review," TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, vol. 2020, Elsevier. [HTML]
13. N. C. T. Dadi, B. Radochová, J. Vargová, and H. Bujdáková, "Impact of healthcare-associated infections connected to medical devices—an update," Microorganisms, 2021. mdpi.com
14. R. Parastan, M. Kargar, K. Solhjoo, and F. Kafilzadeh, "Staphylococcus aureus biofilms: Structures, antibiotic

resistance, inhibition, and vaccines," Gene Reports, 2020. academia.edu

15. S. Blot, E. Ruppé, S. Harbarth, K. Asehnoune, et al., "Healthcare-associated infections in adult intensive care unit patients: Changes in epidemiology, diagnosis, prevention and contributions of new technologies," Intensive and Critical Care Nursing, vol. 2022, Elsevier. nih.gov
16. S. Karakonstantis, E. I. Kritsotakis, and A. Gikas, "Treatment options for K. pneumoniae, P. aeruginosa and A. baumannii co-resistant to carbapenems, aminoglycosides, polymyxins and tigecycline: an approach …," Infection, 2020. springer.com
17. S. Liu, C. D. Moon, N. Zheng, S. Huws et al., "Opportunities and challenges of using metagenomic data to bring uncultured microbes into cultivation," Microbiome, 2022. springer.com
18. S. Rajagopalan, M. Brauer, A. Bhatnagar, D. L. Bhatt, et al., "Personal-level protective actions against particulate matter air pollution exposure: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association," Circulation, vol. 142, no. 3, pp. e1-e16, 2020. ahajournals.org
19. S. Szabó, B. Feier, D. Capatina, M. Tertis, "An overview of healthcare associated infections and their detection methods caused by pathogen bacteria in Romania and Europe," Journal of Clinical, vol. 2022. mdpi.com
20. S. Voidazan, S. Albu, R. Toth, and B. Grigorescu, "Healthcare associated infections—a new pathology in medical practice?," International Journal of …, 2020. mdpi.com
21. T. Mackuľak, K. Cverenkárová, A. Vojs Staňová, M. Fehér, "Hospital wastewater—source of specific micropollutants, antibiotic-resistant microorganisms, viruses, and their elimination," Antibiotics, vol. 10, no. 6, 2021. mdpi.com
22. V. Kasimanickam, M. Kasimanickam, and R. Kasimanickam, "Antibiotics use in food animal production: escalation of antimicrobial resistance: where are we now in combating AMR?," Medical Sciences, 2021. mdpi.com
23. W. Health Organization, "Environmental cleaning and infection prevention and control in health care facilities in low-and middle-income countries: modules and resources," 2023. google.com
24. X. Zhang, S. Yan, J. Chen, R. D. Tyagi, and J. Li, "Physical, chemical, and biological impact (hazard) of hospital wastewater on environment: presence of pharmaceuticals, pathogens, and antibiotic-resistance genes," Current Developments in ..., vol. 2020, Elsevier. nih.gov
25. Y. Guo, G. Song, M. Sun, J. Wang, "Prevalence and Therapies of Antibiotic-Resistance in Staphylococcus aureus," Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology, vol. 10, 2020. frontiersin.org